IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No. 26390 of 2005

Between:

Dandela Seethamma

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs,
Freedom fighters Division,

Lok Nayak Bhavan, Khan Market,
NEW DELHI-110 003 and 2 others

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Seeking a Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to sanction freedom fighters pension to the petitioner with all consequential arrears as per the verification reports submitted by the respondents 3 and 4 dated 04.12.2004 and 07.03.2005, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the 1st respondent.

The petitioner submits that she made an application along with necessary documents for the sanction of freedom fighters pension, and on verification of list of freedom fighter cases, her case was recommended by the Hyderabad Special Screening Committee, and the application of the petitioner was found included in the said Committee vide file No.112/768/98 (Agenda No.7436) and the age of the petitioner as per Epic Card pertaining to the year 1995 is 65 years, and as on 1947 her age is 17 years, and accordingly on verification, a report with specific recommendation was submitted by the 3rd respondent to the

2nd respondent vide his letter Rc.No.C6/2375/2004, dated 04.12.2004, requesting to forward the application of the petitioner for sanction of freedom fighters pension. Pursuant to the same, the 2nd respondent vide his letter e-Lr.No.1771/REV/FF-I/2005(162)-1, dated 07.03.2005 sent his recommendations to the 1st respondent for grant of Central Freedom Fighters Pension under SSSPS 1980. But no orders have been passed by the 1st respondent, sanctioning the freedom fighters pension to the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the

1st respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioner, pursuant to the recommendations received from the 2nd respondent, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a period of 6 (six) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

V.ESWARAIAH,J

Dated: 12.12.2005

Dsr